```
1
 2
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                    FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
 3
 4
                                   CIVIL ACTION NUMBER:
            VALSARTAN, LOSARTAN,
    IN RE:
 5
                                   1:19-md-02875-RBK-KW
    AND IRBESARTAN PRODUCTS
    LIABILITY LITIGATION
 6
                                   STATUS CONFERENCE
                                   (Via telephone)
 7
         Thursday, April 1, 2021
 8
         Commencing at 3:00 p.m.
 9
    BEFORE:
                        SPECIAL MASTER,
                        THE HONORABLE THOMAS I. VANASKIE
10
    APPEARANCES:
11
         MAZIE SLATER KATZ & FREEMAN, LLC
12
         BY: ADAM M. SLATER, ESQUIRE
         103 Eisenhower Parkway
13
         Roseland, New Jersey 07068
         For the Plaintiffs
14
         DUANE MORRIS LLP
15
         BY: SETH A. GOLDBERG, ESQUIRE
         30 S. 17th Street
16
         Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
         For the Defendant, ZHP and the Joint Defense Group
17
18
19
20
               Karen Friedlander, Official Court Reporter
21
                      friedlanderreporter@gmail.com
                             (856) 756-0160
22
             Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography;
23
          transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.
24
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
(ALL PARTIES VIA TELEPHONE, April 1, 2021, 3:01 p.m.)
         JUDGE VANASKIE: Go ahead, Mr. Goldberg. Now, I've
read the letters, I've read excerpts of the depositions. I
haven't read the deposition, the rough transcript in their
entirety. I read the parts that were referenced by counsel.
         I want to give you an opportunity to be heard, but
we're not going to take a whole lot of time on this today.
I'll let you know that right now.
         So, Mr. Goldberg, please proceed.
                       Thank you, Your Honor. We raised two
         MR. GOLDBERG:
issues in our letter. The first is Mr. Slater's conduct at
the depositions of the ZHP party witnesses, and the second is
the issue of document translation.
         On the first issue, Your Honor, I think it's
important for Your Honor to understand the setting that we are
in, which is so unique for a case; probably something that's
never really happened in the U.S. courts before. We have --
we have Chinese witnesses who are being deposed by Zoom and
because they require to quarantine, they're actually doing
these depositions alone from their hotel rooms. Okay?
         So this is -- this is even more unique than just a
Zoom deposition --
         JUDGE VANASKIE:
                         Yeah.
         MR. GOLDBERG: -- because counsel -- ZHP's counsel is
not present with the witnesses, they are in their hotel rooms.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Your Honor may not be aware. There is nothing akin to a deposition under Chinese law. The witnesses, like Mr. Dong, who has been testify -- I'm sorry, Mr. Pong (sic), who's been testifying over the last four nights. witnesses, like Mr. Pong, who are Chinese nationals, they have no experience with U.S. litigation. Their only understanding of what U.S. litigation is comes from the movies. We've tried to explain this, the process to them, we've tried to explain the adversarial nature to them, but they are really coming at this having no frame of reference except what they see in the movies. Rather than be sensitive to this very unique situation, where you have a witness who doesn't speak the same language, who has no experience with U.S. litigation, who's never been deposed before, who's sitting alone in a hotel room without their counsel present, rather than have any

He has impugned the credibility of Mr. Pong numerous times without any basis. He's asserting that Mr. Pong is answering questions in an evasive way without taking into consideration that Mr. Pong may not really understand what is going on and how to answer questions that are so ill formed that there's no way even the most experienced witness could answer properly, or answer with a -- an answer that is

sensitivity to those issues, Mr. Slater is going over the top

with respect to his unprofessional conduct.

satisfactory to Mr. Slater.

You know, it's telling that Mr. Slater's colleague,
Ms. Hilton, had a deposition for five nights with Minli Zhang
last week, and we didn't have to contact Your Honor once. But
as soon as Mr. Slater started to depose Mr. Pong, Your Honor
may recall that that first night, at 2 in the morning, Your
Honor received a letter from plaintiffs about the first day of
testimony.

We are not going to be able to get through these depositions if Mr. Slater is permitted to continued to take them. We would ask, because of his unprofessional conduct, that he be precluded from taking depositions.

And I've given you a few good examples, but there are more, but they're telling a witness, who Mr. Slater knows has a one-month-old baby at home, that we will stay here until 3:00 a.m. if you want -- if you insist on having a document translated, they'll stay here until 3:00 a.m., that you're the one trying to get out of Macau.

You know, these kinds of statements, "you're the one trying to get out of Macau," or "we'll stay here until 3:00 a.m." these kind of statements are intended to intimidate the witness. They're intended to make the witness feel as if he's doing something wrong by wanting to clarify what a document says; that he's doing something wrong if he takes his time to answer a question.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
This kind of witness intimidation is altering the
testimony, it's prejudicing the ZHP parties, and quite
frankly, it is so insensitive to the witness, and it should be
stopped.
         If Mr. Slater is not precluded from taking the
depositions, then this kind of conduct should be stopped by
way of an explicit order. Then Mr. Slater should ask a
question, he should accept the answer, and he should move on
to the next question without the editorial comments that are
intended, intended to make the witness feel as if the witness
has done something wrong.
         JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Let me hear from
Mr. Slater. Let's take this issue up first.
         MR. GOLDBERG: Sure.
         MR. SLATER: Thank you, Your Honor.
         I think that my best response to this is what I put
in that letter today, which is that I think that the
transcript speaks for itself, and I think that the video would
even speak more.
         I am very confident that I haven't done anything
inappropriate. I took to heart what Your Honor told us on
Monday. I haven't made a motion to strike since. I've
stopped my entire practice of 25 years of doing this. I've
taken it and moved on.
         I've not impugned the witness in any way.
```

Counsel points to one example or two examples of things where I'm literally begging the defense lawyer to please intervene and talk to their client, encourage their client to answer in a more direct way and to try to avoid all this delay because it affects everybody.

And I didn't say we're going to stay until 3:00 a.m., I said we're not going to continue the deposition until 3:00 a.m. because the translator can only go so long, the court reporter can only go so long, the videographer can only go so long. The lawyers are the least important in that equation, but I said, we're not going to go until 3:00 a.m.

And I didn't say "you want to leave Macau" in a threatening way. I was repeating what counsel said on Monday, which is he has a limited work visa. And I was basically trying to remind him that if he asks to have sections of a document translated to him, it's absolutely his right, I have never once said not to do it, but I was just trying to remind everybody, if you do this for a half an hour, or you do this for 20 minutes, then it's going to push the deposition to the next day and the next day, which I know that the defense wants to avoid.

So it was not in any way a threat.

And I will say the same thing is happening, frankly, with the Chinese-language documents, so it's not really any easier with them.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As far I know the overall layout, everybody is doing the best they can. I don't think this is such a complex process as it's being made out to be. Most witnesses in federal litigation of this nature have never been through this, including witnesses who are, you know, executives or employees at pharmaceutical companies in the U.S., they've never been in a situation like this. It's new to everybody. And this is not just a fact witness. This is a designated corporate representative who they chose to put up on a topic that may be among the two or three most important things in the ZHP part of the litigation, which is their evaluation of the impurity profiles and avoiding impurities --JUDGE VANASKIE: Hold on. You broke up there. (Reporter asks counsel to repeat.) JUDGE VANASKIE: Somebody must have a window open or something. A window open and it's breezy out there. MR. SLATER: And avoid having impurities in the product, which is the risk assessment process, which is the core of this case. And they designated this witness. He's not a fact witness. He is the designated corporate representative, and we, obviously, all understand that when you designate somebody, it needs to be somebody who is capable of educating themselves, knowing the topics and handling that, and he's

testifying behalf of the company, not behalf of himself.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
So, you know, there's obviously obligations.
I stand by the transcript, Your Honor. I stand by -- I really
encourage, if they want to push this, because nobody likes to
have these types of claims made against them, as an attorney,
but the video, with the transcript, where Your Honor can
actually hear the tone of voice, I'm inviting that.
asking for that.
         I have no problem that if they want to say -- if they
want to say these things about me because I'm very comfortable
that what I'm doing is entirely appropriate and dealing with a
very, very difficult witness on very, very important areas
where I am cutting out massive sections of my outline. I'm
not asking about entire documents or parts of documents,
because if I do what they're saying and we're following your
rules, Your Honor, there's no motion to strike, I ask a few
times, I move on. But you saw what we provided you.
         The first question in the deposition, which is not an
unfair question, which is not complex, I spent over 20 minutes
on one question and then had to move on.
         So I'm doing the best I can, so -- I'm sorry.
         So you understand what I'm saying. I mean, if
there's anything that you're concerned about, obviously I take
```

United States District Court Camden, New Jersey

And they keep citing to Ms. Hilton, she asked for me

that very seriously, but I think the transcript doesn't show

me doing anything inappropriate.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

relief than I did, about the looking at the screen. She actually told the witness to turn off all the other applications in case they were looking at something. I didn't even go that far. So that's just a standard kind of question, and the person they're putting up as the exemplary questioner asked even more on that topic. So I don't want to -- I don't want to --JUDGE VANASKIE: Yeah, let me interrupt now because -- go ahead. I'll give you a brief response, Mr. Goldberg. MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, I think, and we've included the language in our letter. And the fact that Mr. Slater tells you he thinks he's doing okay and he stands on the record, that's fine. That doesn't mean he's not being abusive and bullying and intimidating. And when you have a witness who says, and here are his words, "I deem this as a personal attack on me," it is clear that whatever Mr. Slater is doing is not fair to the witness, and the witness is feeling attacked by the questioning. This has been going on for four nights. When Mr. Slater says to the witness, "Were you told it's acceptable to take as long as you possibly can and give the longest possible answer every single time in order to take up the time

witness needs to provide.

in our deposition," he is trying to force the witness, to
alter the witness's testimony, to somehow shorten the
witness's answers, or answer in a way that's intended to
satisfy Mr. Slater, not intended to provide the testimony the

Those kinds of comments are completely unacceptable, they're inappropriate, and the Court should order Mr. Slater, if you're not going to order that he's precluded, that he should refrain from those kinds of editorial comments that are intended to make the witness feel as if the witness has done something wrong and to intimidate the witness into answering a certain way.

JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Thank you, Mr. Goldberg.

I've read significant parts of the transcript, and I have the benefit of this having been presented to me earlier this week.

First, I'm not going to preclude Mr. Slater from being the person on the plaintiffs' side taking this deposition or any other deposition. I don't think that's appropriate, certainly not at this point in time.

And I would say this, as well, about the witness.

The witness, at times, is simply refusing to answer the question. At times. Not all the time. And, unfortunately, Mr. Slater has the tendency to be the bulldog and not give up when it's apparent that he's not going to answer the question,

other than saying, words to the effect, that based upon the state of the knowledge in 2011, we complied with everything we were supposed to comply with.

That paraphrase on my part. But that's a refrain that I see repeated throughout the answers to the questions that is not responsive. I'll say that.

On the other hand, I will say, Mr. Slater, and this is probably your personality, when I read parts of this transcript, it brought to mind a word my mom had used that I hadn't heard, God rest her soul, I haven't heard in a long time, and that's the word, "snide"; that some of the comments are snide comments.

You mentioned the fact that the first question took 20 minutes. And at the end of that 20 minutes, you couldn't help yourself but say, "That took 20 minutes; we're cruising." That kind of commentary needs to stop. It doesn't advance the matter at all. It may be sending a message to the witness to hurry things up, to give shorter answers.

I am cautioning you, with the penalty of sanctions in the future, if you can't avoid those editorial comments.

They're not appropriate, they're not professional, they don't need to be made. They can be entertaining, but nobody is there to be entertained. It is a very, very serious matter.

And so I -- as I said, I'm not precluding you from taking the deposition, but I'm going to ask you that when

1 something like that pops into your head, bite your tongue. 2 There's no need for it, and there's no call for it. 3 MR. SLATER: Thank you for the quidance, Your Honor, and I will, of course, do what Your Honor directs me. 4 5 JUDGE VANASKIE: Now, let's talk about translation -or having English version -- or Mandarin versions of documents 6 7 that are in English. 8 Mr. Goldberg? 9 MR. GOLDBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 This came up on Monday, and I just want to put this 11 into the right context because Mr. Slater referred to this 12 issue having been decided by Judge Schneider, and it wasn't 13 decided by Judge Schneider. In fact, Judge Schneider 14 explicitly acknowledged that we would be here today on this 15 issue. 16 And what was decided previously was whether 17 Mr. Slater would have to disclose, in advance of the 18 deposition to defense counsel, translated versions of the 19 documents that he would use as exhibits, and he raised the 20 concern with Judge Schneider that he did not want to disclose 21 his work product. And that's what Judge Schneider ruled on. 22 He ruled that at this point, I am not going to put 23 into the protocol a provision that requires the parties to 24 exchange exhibits before the deposition because I don't think

plaintiffs' counsel should have to disclose their work

product.

But he did not decide what happens during the deposition in terms of who bears the burden of translating the documents for the witness, and when does that happen.

He only decided, there shouldn't be a predeposition exchange of the exhibits.

Now, when we are in the depositions, what's happening is Mr. Slater wants to introduce a document that is written in English, maybe part of it's in Chinese -- in Mandarin, too, but he wants to question the witness on an English portion of the document. And he is insisting that the interpreter of record do what's called "sight translation," translate the document on sight.

And, you know, this is -- what he's asking the interpreter to do is to take a specific sentence or a specific paragraph in an entire document written in English, or an entire section written in English, sight translate it, and then have the witness answer a question based on that sight translation.

This is so ripe for error that it's unquestionably prejudicial to the ZHP parties.

First of all, the interpreters that have been retained, at least by the ZHP parties, we did not retain them on the basis that they were going to be sight translating documents. Of course they can do it, but to sight translate

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

highly technical terms, like we are using in process chemistry on the spot is challenging, and accuracy is an issue. Accuracy is an issue when you're translating the spoken word, which is why both parties have what are called "check interpreters." But not only is the accuracy a problem, the witness has no way of knowing if the translation is accurate because the witness can't read the document. So the witness has to rely on the interpreter's site translation. Okay? The other thing the witness can't do is the witness can't look at the other pages of the document to understand what the document is, whether there's any context for the plucked-out sentence that Mr. Slater is focused on; and that's what witnesses have the right to do. They have the right to look at a document that they are being asked about, to determine what the document is, what the context for the document is. So not only do we have a concern about the accuracy of the translation, the accuracy of the sight translation, we have the second concern, which is that the witness is being forced to answer a question about a document with no context for the language he is being asked about. And there's a problem --JUDGE VANASKIE: Go ahead. MR. GOLDBERG: So, you know, we -- in out -- a third

1 problem --2 I'm sorry. Finish your thought. JUDGE VANASKIE: 3 MR. GOLDBERG: Yeah. I mean, a third problem here is, you know, plaintiffs are asking the interpreter of record, 4 5 who ZHP has retained, to spend the time to interpret these 6 documents during the deposition. So ZHP is now paying for 7 something that the plaintiffs want to do in the deposition. 8 These are their depositions. These are the documents 9 they want to show the witness. They have had these documents, 10 many of them, back since 2019 when we produced core discovery, 11 but certainly since November when we produced the documents. 12 They've had plenty of time to translate the key documents that 13 they want to use. 14 You know, it's one thing to -- maybe a short e-mail, 15 you know, maybe the interpreter of record to translate 16 something quick like that, but a technical document, you know, 17 it is asking too much of the interpreter of record. 18 There is no reason plaintiffs can't have their 19 interpreter -- they have a check interpreter there. There's 20 no reason they can't have their interpreter interpret the 21 documents before the deposition. Even a machine-translated 22 document would be better than no translation because it would 23 allow the witness at least to get some flavor of what the 24 document is.

JUDGE VANASKIE: I wanted to ask a question about

that, about machine translation.

What is available out there in the marketplace to do machine translation from English to Mandarin? How accurate is it? Are there ratings of these products so you can tell what is a good product? Things like that. And what's the expense?

Do you have any --

MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, I don't have that information off the top of my head. I know we've discussed it with plaintiffs. It is very affordable to do machine translation. We can get you that information, but it is not a significant cost.

Again, the accuracy of it, at least for these purposes, a machine-translated document at least could aid in the efficiency of these depositions. But from our understanding, it costs, you know, a few cents per page, and so it should not be a significant cost burden for plaintiffs to have the documents machine translated in advance of the deposition.

And mind you, Your Honor, not all of the ZHP party witnesses need this, need to be presented with Mandarin written documents. The witnesses next week, my understanding, can read English. So we're talking about a small handful of -- we're talking about a small handful of ZHP-party witnesses who need to have a document translated into Mandarin for their deposition.

with that is not try to fool the witness or anything.

picked out specific areas. There is a lawyer defending the deposition who can look at my reading of the language, and if I miss a word, which I did, actually, earlier in the deposition, counsel said I left out a word. I stopped, I went back and reasked the question. I said, you're right. When I was reading that sentence, I missed it. So that it was accurate.

There's no difference between that and me asking the question without the document. All I'm doing is putting the document into the record as an exhibit so that when we get to the point of trial, the document we worked with is an exhibit and all counsel that are attending the deposition can see the document.

And then what I'm doing is I ask about -- I confirm what the language says, and then I ask a question about that. Most of the questions were -- that was known to ZHP and their basic foundational question.

So there's nothing where there's anything unfair.

We're not -- so going to the next issue. There is not one
time where I have forced the witness to have something
translated by the translator that they brought to the
deposition.

Rather, the defense said that they would like their translator to be able to translate. And the fact that they're saying the witness doesn't trust the translation of the

English language, whether I -- what I was if I was just reading from the document without using the document? I could do the same thing, but I'm supposed to establish a record of where I'm getting the information from.

The witness is still going to have to trust the transition by his translator which was retained by ZHP. So they've chosen -- and I haven't objected to it, ever.

So the time it's going to take is going to happen anyway. Your Honor understands our sensitivity to this issue because you see the amount of time it's taking to get an answer to a question and how long the answers are.

So, you know, for all those reasons, there's absolutely no call for us to do a translation of these documents. They're lengthy, they're extensive, and I don't think there's any example that counsel can point to where there was some unfair use of the document.

Again, as I said on Monday, not in a derisive way towards anybody who speaks or reads a foreign language, but as a matter of trying to comply with the rules and a matter of practical reality, I suggested maybe they can appoint a second witness who might be able to more easily address the English language documents that fall within this topic if they're concerned about that.

So, you know, for all those reasons, we ask that the ruling that Judge Schneider made stand. There's no order that

they spoke English, or that only an adequate -- only an English-speaking witness would be adequate is a discriminatory comment, and the Court should take it very seriously.

In terms of the time, again, Judge Schneider only ruled on the predeposition exchange of documents to not reveal attorney work product. He left open for today how the time and cost of the translation should be allocated.

These witnesses have traveled to another country.

They are on a time-limited visa. They have been allocated per agreement by the parties with five hours of testimony a night. We have retained interpreters on the basis of five hours of testimony per night. We have given the witnesses and the interpreters a few breaks during that five hours, so we are actually going to roughly six hours every night.

If plaintiffs insist on not interpreting English documents into Mandarin, for Mandarin-speaking witnesses who cannot read English, then plaintiffs should bear the cost, and the time should be allocated against plaintiffs. Or else we are going to run past the witness's visa, we're going to run past the number of nights of testimony that the parties have allocated, and that is extremely unfair to these witnesses who have -- who have sacrificed their time during this pandemic to travel.

Plaintiffs have made no showing why they cannot translate these documents. Yes, they can use machine

asked about a document and is unable to read it because it's not in a language they understand.

I need to know a little bit more about the cost of translating these documents. I agree with Mr. Goldberg, that this issue was not definitively resolved once and for all by Judge Schneider. It was not resolved by me, by the way, Monday or whatever day it was that we had our conversation on this particular matter.

I think it would be preferable if the documents were translated. That's why I asked about machine translation.

I hear your concern, Mr. Slater, about the foundation objections that you'd likely to run into. Or if you don't run into them at the time you present it, it's going to come up later when somebody questions the machine translation.

But I think going forward, I want to have a proper foundation for making a determination about the burden that would be involved in having documents put in the Mandarin language, when the witness, who is going to be questioned, has no familiarity with the English language, is not bilingual.

I think that's a matter of fairness. And I think that needs to be accomplished going forward, but I don't want to impose an undue burden.

So what I expect to receive, is from both sides, some submission that would provide to me, and you can do it on a per-page basis, for example, what it could cost to take

English and put it in Mandarin; and then from the plaintiffs' perspective, some ballpark estimate of the number of pages we're talking about.

I realize some of these documents may be hundreds of pages long and the witness may only be questioned on a page or two. And I would expect that in good faith, cooperative counsel would be able to work together, that you wouldn't need to translate that hundred-plus page document; you'd only need to get the relevant part and the context for the relevant part.

And I know that's putting a burden on you, but this is the consequence of our world economy and taking depositions of people the way -- especially the way we're taking them now, having to use this remote technology.

So I know it's too late for tonight, obviously, and Mr. Goldberg has represented that it's going to be at least a week or so before you take another deposition of a Chinese-speaking witness. But hopefully by next Wednesday, I've scheduled a conference call for next Wednesday, that you all can give me -- both sides can give me some indication -- and I don't mean to limit this, so please pass this on, Mr. Goldberg, to the rest of the defense team. I don't mean to limit this to Mandarin, I don't mean to limit this to the defendants who are located in China. It may apply to those located elsewhere.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would like to get some understanding of what kind of expense we're looking at to put these documents into the language of the deponent so that the deponent can have some sense of assurance that what they're seeing they understand. And so I'll look for a report. And if you put it in writing, great; if not, we'll get a verbal report next Wednesday. Is there anything else we need to address today? MR. SLATER: Your Honor, I just want to be clear on what you said. Your Honor is going to need, if I understand correctly, to hear more fulsome arguments on this issue because, for example, we used an IARC monograph last night, which is the International Association Research on Cancer. It's an important association. JUDGE VANASKIE: Yes. MR. SLATER: In any event, I used that monograph, a couple of isolated sentences within it, which specifically impeach things about the availability of knowledge about certain scientific matters. The book is hundred -- or hundreds of pages long. used excerpts of a couple of sentences. So it's not feasible for us -- some of these documents are hundreds of pages long or much longer and I'm only using parts. So I'm -- I guess

maybe I'm just previewing and I have a little bit of concern.

But as long as I understand that this is not a decided matter and we still have an ability to argue it, then I don't have to say much more today.

JUDGE VANASKIE: It's not a decided matter, but I do want to make clear that when you're talking about a monograph like that that's hundreds of pages long, I'm not suggesting that the entire monograph has to be in Mandarin, but I may say that you'd have to provide the page and two or three pages beforehand.

I know that's arbitrary. I understand that. But it's something that at least it would provide greater context than seeing one paragraph and asking somebody to answer a question on that one paragraph when what precedes or follows it may put that stark assertion in a better light for one side or the other and puts it in context.

MR. SLATER: I guess we'll need a record. Because I can -- I can -- I think when we talk about this next week, it's going to be important to go through it with some concrete examples.

Again, they're going to have counsel there who can look at the rest of it, and if you do instruct us to translate the portion we want to question on, you know, two or three pages of that monograph, you're talking about very small type, you're talking about a lot of irrelevant things. You know, it may be that we're going to ask you at this point, if

doing that.

And you can ask Mr. Slater if he has been using a translator at all during this litigation because I would expect they have. And there's no reason those documents can't be starting -- they can't start to translate those documents.

Your Honor, if we have to move to stay these depositions because of unfairness, because the Chinese witnesses are being treated in an unfair way because of their language, we will do that. It is -- we cannot hold the Chinese witnesses to a different standard simply because of the language they speak.

The plaintiffs have chosen to sue this company, they've chosen to enter into this litigation. You heard Judge Kugler say yesterday that each side needed to be prepared to have an army of resources. Plaintiffs have war chests to pay for the cost of translation, and they should be asked to start to do that now. And there's simply no reason they cannot start to do it now.

JUDGE VANASKIE: Mr. Slater?

MR. SLATER: I assume that we'll do what Your Honor told us. We'll file our position papers and discuss this next Wednesday. We obviously have a significant disagreement. We obviously have different viewpoints on this. We'll have to present this to Your Honor, and I -- you know, we'll follow what Your Honor told us to prepare to discuss this in detail

next Wednesday.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I think we'll be able to make a very compelling presentation that the sky is not falling, that we're not being unfair, and that I don't think it's necessary. And if Your Honor disagrees, I expect that we'll be able to -- or hope that we'll be able to persuade Your Honor that our obligations should be much narrower than what is being suggested by defense counsel and still be very fair to the witnesses as we have been throughout.

JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. I think I've heard enough today on this particular issue, and we'll leave this for next Wednesday.

I will conclude with this, saying that I am extremely sensitive to a witness who doesn't speak English being asked questions about documents that are only in English, that the witness doesn't have an opportunity to review. I mean, it's a pretty much standard practice when you're dealing with a single language to give the witness an opportunity to review the document. That opportunity is not being afforded here under these circumstances.

So I just want you to know that I am sensitive to the arguments that are being presented here on both sides, but I do think there needs to be a way to make sure that these witnesses are being, essentially, in the equivalent position of they spoke the language in which the document they're being

1 questioned on is written. 2 So we'll talk about this again next Wednesday. 3 know you've both got so much to do. I don't mean to put more 4 on you, but I need an adequate foundation to make a decision 5 that was just brought up, to me at least, this week, in terms 6 of what language the documents have to be in. 7 All right. Is there anything else then today? 8 MR. SLATER: Nothing for plaintiffs, Your Honor. 9 JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Mr. Goldberg? 10 MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, you know, at the risk of 11 aggravating Your Honor, I do think Mr. Slater owes Your Honor 12 an answer to the question, whether the plaintiffs have already 13 secured interpreters and can begin to translate these 14 documents. Because this case has been going on for two years, 15 and I suspect that they have and that they can begin to do it. 16 JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Well, I will ask that 17 question, then, of Mr. Slater. 18 Can you answer that? 19 MR. SLATER: We have people -- obviously Your Honor 20 knows we have people who are helping us to interpret the 21 Chinese-language documents. 22 To my knowledge, we have not translated any 23 English-language documents into Mandarin, as there was no need 24 to do so. We've never done so as to my knowledge. I've never

seen such a translation that I can think of.

We have people who go through the documents and help					
us to understand what the Chinese language means so that we					
can ask questions about it, but that's but what they're					
asking is completely different than what we've done. It's not					
something we've contemplated.					
MR. GOLDBERG: Of course. And those translators who					
are translating Mandarin to English can be used to translate					
English to Mandarin. That's what they do. And there's no					
reason plaintiffs cannot start to do that for these					
depositions.					
JUDGE VANASKIE: I'm not going to order it at this					
time, Mr. Goldberg. I'm going to listen to the arguments next					
Wednesday and make my determination then.					
Plaintiffs are on notice that if I require that the					
documents be translated from English to Mandarin, that they're					
going to be required to do it in a hurry. And that if they					
cannot be done in time for a deposition, then I may have to					
postpone that deposition.					
But they're on notice; they're going to make their					
arguments. I don't know the extent of the problem. I'll get					
a better sense of that next Wednesday.					
All right. Anything else?					
At the risk of that asking that question, anything					
else?					
My mom would say that's a snide remark, by the way.					

,	33:8	10:11	<b>behalf</b> [2] - 7:25	<b>chosen</b> [3] - 20:7,
/	absolute [1] - 18:16	answers [4] - 10:3,	<b>benefit</b> [1] - 10:15	29:12, 29:13
/ <b>S</b> [1] - 33:10	absolute[y] - 16.16 absolutely [2] - 6:16,	11:5, 11:18, 20:11	<b>best</b> [3] - 5:16, 7:2,	circumstances [1] -
7 <b>3</b> [1] = 33.10	20:13	anyway [1] - 20:9	8:20	30:20
0	<b>abusive</b> [1] - 9:16	apparent[1] - 10:25	<b>better</b> [3] - 15:22,	citation [1] - 18:5
U	accept [1] - 5:8	applications [1] - 9:3	27:14, 32:21	citing [1] - 8:25
<b>07068</b> [1] - 1:13	acceptable [1] - 9:23	apply [1] - 25:24	<b>between</b> [1] - 19:8	CIVIL [1] - 1:4
	accomplished [1] -	appoint [5] - 18:15,	<b>big</b> [1] - 21:1	claims [1] - 8:4
1	24:21	18:17, 18:18, 18:22,	<b>bilingual</b> [1] - 24:19	clarify [1] - 4:23
•	accuracy [6] - 14:2,	20:20	bit [2] - 24:3, 26:25	cleanly [1] - 17:19
<b>1</b> [2] - 1:7, 2:1	14:3, 14:6, 14:18,	appropriate [3] - 8:10,	bite [1] - 12:1	clear [3] - 9:19, 26:9,
<b>103</b> [1] - 1:12	14:19, 16:12	10:20, 11:21	<b>board</b> [1] - 17:22	27:5
<b>17th</b> [1] - 1:15	accurate [6] - 14:7,	April [2] - 1:7, 2:1	<b>book</b> [1] - 26:21	clearly [1] - 18:13
<b>19103</b> [1] - 1:16	16:3, 17:17, 17:25,	arbitrary [1] - 27:10	breaks [1] - 22:13	client [2] - 6:3, 6:4
1:19-md-02875-RBK-	19:7, 21:4	areas [2] - 8:11, 19:1	<b>breezy</b> [1] - 7:16	colleague [1] - 4:2
<b>KW</b> [1] - 1:5	acknowledged [1] -	<b>argue</b> [1] - 27:2	<b>brief</b> [1] - 9:10	comfortable [1] - 8:9
	12:14	<b>argued</b> [1] - 18:8	broke[1] - 7:13	coming [1] - 3:10
2	<b>ACTION</b> [1] - 1:4	<b>arguing</b> [1] - 18:1	<b>brought</b> [3] - 11:9,	<b>Commencing</b> [1] - 1:8
<b>2</b> [1] - 4:6	<b>ADAM</b> [1] - 1:12	<b>argument</b> [1] - 21:5	19:21, 31:5	comment [3] - 21:11,
<b>2</b> [1] - 4:6 <b>20</b> [5] - 6:19, 8:18,	<b>address</b> [6] - 18:9,	<b>arguments</b> [5] - 21:2,	<b>bulldog</b> [1] - 10:24	21:17, 22:3
20[5] - 0.19, 6.16, 11:14, 11:15	18:10, 18:21, 20:21,	26:12, 30:22, 32:12,	<b>bullying</b> [1] - 9:16	commentary [1] -
<b>2011</b> [1] - 11:2	21:11, 26:8	32:20	<b>burden</b> [7] - 13:3,	11:16
<b>2019</b> [1] - 15:10	addressed [1] - 21:18	army [1] - 29:15	16:16, 21:1, 23:2,	<b>comments</b> [6] - 5:9,
<b>2021</b> [2] - 1:7, 2:1	adequate [9] - 17:6,	asserting [1] - 3:20	24:16, 24:22, 25:11	10:6, 10:9, 11:11,
<b>25</b> [1] - 5:23	18:18, 21:14, 21:16,	assertion [1] - 27:14	<b>BY</b> <sub>[2]</sub> - 1:12, 1:15	11:12, 11:20
	21:20, 21:25, 22:1, 22:2, 31:4	<b>assessment</b> [2] - 7:18, 18:22		companies [1] - 7:6
3	adjourn [1] - 33:1	Association [1] -	С	<b>company</b> [2] - 7:25, 29:12
	<b>ado</b> [1] - 18:2	26:14	Cancer [1] - 26:14	compelling [1] - 30:2
<b>30</b> [1] - 1:15	advance [3] - 11:16,	association [1] -	candidly [1] - 18:11	completely [2] - 10:6,
<b>30(b)(6</b> [5] - 18:16,	12:17, 16:17	26:15	<b>cannot</b> [7] - 17:19,	32:4
18:18, 18:23, 21:15,	adversarial [1] - 3:9	<b>assume</b> [1] - 29:20	22:17, 22:24, 29:9,	complex [2] - 7:2,
21:22	affects [1] - 6:5	<b>assurance</b> [1] - 26:4	29:18, 32:9, 32:17	8:18
<b>3:00</b> [7] - 1:8, 4:16,	<b>affordable</b> [1] - 16:9	assure[1] - 28:12	capable [1] - 7:23	complied [1] - 11:2
4:17, 4:21, 6:6, 6:8,	<b>afforded</b> [1] - 30:19	attack[1] - 9:18	case [5] - 2:16, 7:19,	comply [2] - 11:3,
6:11	aggravating [1] -	attacked [1] - 9:20	9:3, 28:24, 31:14	20:19
3:01 [1] - 2:1	31:11	attending [1] - 19:12	<b>cautioning</b> [1] - 11:19	computer [1] - 1:23
<b>3:50</b> [1] - 33:4	<b>agree</b> [1] - 24:4	attorney [2] - 8:4, 22:6	<b>cents</b> [1] - 16:15	computer-aided [1] -
4	agreement[1] - 22:10	availability [1] - 26:19	<b>certain</b> [2] - 10:12,	1:23
	<b>ahead</b> [5] - 2:2, 9:10,	<b>available</b> [1] - 16:2	26:20	<b>concern</b> [5] - 12:20,
<b>4-1-21</b> [1] - 33:12	14:24, 21:7, 28:7	<b>avoid</b> [4] - 6:4, 6:21,	certainly [2] - 10:20,	14:18, 14:20, 24:11,
<b>45</b> [1] - 17:25	aid [1] - 16:13	7:17, 11:20	15:11	26:25
	aided [1] - 1:23	avoiding [1] - 7:12	certify [1] - 33:7	concerned [2] - 8:22,
7	<b>akin</b> [1] - 3:1	<b>aware</b> [1] - 3:1	<b>challenging</b> [1] - 14:2 <b>check</b> [2] - 14:4, 15:19	20:23
	<b>ALL</b> [1] - 2:1 <b>allocated</b> [4] - 22:7,	D	<b>checker</b> [2] - 14.4, 15.19 <b>checker</b> [2] - 17:23,	conclude [1] - 30:13 concrete [1] - 27:18
<b>756-0160</b> [1] - 1:21	22:9, 22:18, 22:21	В	17:24	concrete [1] - 27:18 conduct [4] - 2:11,
	allow [1] - 15:23	<b>baby</b> [1] - 4:15	chemistry [1] - 14:1	3:18, 4:11, 5:6
8	<b>alone</b> [2] - 2:20, 3:15	<b>ballpark</b> [1] - 25:2	chests [1] - 29:16	conference [1] - 25:19
<b>856</b> [1] - 1:21	<b>alter</b> [1] - 10:2	<b>based</b> [3] - 11:1,	<b>China</b> [1] - 25:24	CONFERENCE [1] -
300 [i] · 1.2 i	altering [1] - 5:1	13:18, 28:14	<b>Chinese</b> [10] - 2:18,	1:6
Α	<b>amount</b> [1] - 20:10	<b>basic</b> [1] - 19:17	3:2, 3:5, 6:24, 13:9,	confident [1] - 5:20
	<b>AND</b> [1] - 1:5	<b>basis</b> [4] - 3:20, 13:24,	25:18, 29:7, 29:10,	confirm [1] - 19:14
<b>a.m</b> [6] - 4:16, 4:17,	<b>answer</b> [18] - 3:23,	22:11, 24:25	31:21, 32:2	consequence[1] -
4:21, 6:6, 6:8, 6:11	3:25, 4:25, 5:8, 6:4,	<b>bear</b> [2] - 22:17, 23:2	Chinese-language [2]	25:12
<b>ability</b> [2] - 27:2, 28:12	9:25, 10:3, 10:22,	<b>bears</b> [1] - 13:3	- 6:24, 31:21	consideration [1] -
<b>able</b> [7] - 4:9, 19:24,	10:25, 13:18, 14:21,	beforehand [1] - 27:9	Chinese-speaking [1]	3:22
20:21, 25:7, 30:2,	17:4, 20:11, 27:12,	<b>begging</b> [1] - 6:2	- 25:18	contact [1] - 4:4
30:5, 30:6	31:12, 31:18	<b>begin</b> [2] - 31:13,	<b>chose</b> [4] - 7:9, 18:15,	contemplated [1] -
above-entitled [1] -	<b>answering</b> [2] - 3:21,	31:15	18:22	32:5

30:25

2

context [7] - 12:11, 14:12, 14:17, 14:21, 25:9, 27:11, 27:15 continue [1] - 6:7 continued [1] - 4:10 conversation[1] -24:7 cooperative [1] - 25:6 core [2] - 7:19, 15:10 corporate [2] - 7:9, correct[1] - 33:7 correctly [1] - 26:12 cost[7] - 16:11, 16:16, 22:7, 22:17, 24:3, 24:25, 29:16 costs [1] - 16:15 counsel [16] - 2:5, 2:24, 3:16, 6:1, 6:13, 7:14, 12:18, 12:25, 19:4, 19:12, 20:15, 25:7, 27:20, 28:2, country [1] - 22:8 couple [3] - 18:10, 26:18, 26:22 course [3] - 12:4, 13:25, 32:6 **COURT**[1] - 1:2 Court [3] - 1:20, 21:19, 33:10 courts [1] - 2:17 credibility [1] - 3:19 CRR[1] - 33:10 crucial [1] - 18:20 cruising [1] - 11:15 cutting [1] - 8:12

#### D

Date [1] - 33:12 date [1] - 28:23 dealing [2] - 8:10, 30:17 decide [2] - 13:2, 17:14 decided [6] - 12:12, 12:13, 12:16, 13:5, 27:2, 27:4 decision [1] - 31:4 deem [1] - 9:18 **Defendant** [1] - 1:16 defendants [1] - 25:24 defending [1] - 19:1 defense [7] - 6:2, 6:20, 12:18, 17:11, 19:23, 25:22, 30:8 **Defense**[1] - 1:16 definitively [1] - 24:5 delay [1] - 6:5

deponent [2] - 26:3 depose [1] - 4:5 deposed [2] - 2:18, 3:15 deposition [28] - 2:4, 2:22, 3:2, 4:3, 6:7, 6:19, 8:17, 10:1, 10:19, 11:25, 12:18, 12:24, 13:3, 15:6, 15:7, 15:21, 16:18, 16:25, 19:2, 19:4, 19:12, 19:22, 21:2, 25:17, 28:11, 32:17, 32:18 depositions [13] - 2:3, 2:12, 2:20, 4:10, 4:12, 5:6, 13:7, 15:8, 16:14, 23:7, 25:12, 29:7, 32:10 derisive[1] - 20:17 designate [4] - 7:22, 23:18, 23:20, 23:21 designated [4] - 7:9, 7:20, 7:21, 21:21 detail [1] - 29:25 determination [2] -24:16, 32:13 determine[1] - 14:16 difference[1] - 19:8 different [3] - 29:10, 29:23, 32:4 difficult [1] - 8:11 direct [1] - 6:4 directs [1] - 12:4 disagreement[1] -29:22 disagrees [1] - 30:5 disclose [3] - 12:17, 12:20, 12:25 discovery [1] - 15:10 discrimination [1] -

29:22
disagrees [1] - 30:5
disclose [3] - 12:17,
12:20, 12:25
discovery [1] - 15:10
discrimination [1] 21:12
discriminatory [3] 21:11, 21:17, 22:2
discuss [2] - 29:21,
29:25
discussed [1] - 16:8
DISTRICT [2] - 1:2,
1:2
document [33] - 2:13,
4:16, 4:24, 6:16,
13:8, 13:11, 13:13,
13:16, 14:8, 14:11,
14:12, 14:15, 14:16,

14:17, 14:21, 15:16,

15:22, 15:24, 16:13,

16:24, 17:10, 17:16,

19:9, 19:10, 19:11,

19:13, 20:2, 20:16,

24:1, 25:8, 30:19,

documents [49] -6:24, 8:13, 12:6, 12:19, 13:4, 13:25, 15:6, 15:8, 15:9, 15:11, 15:12, 15:21, 16:17, 16:21, 17:13, 17:18, 17:19, 17:20, 18:19, 18:23, 20:14, 20:22, 21:1, 22:5, 22:16, 22:25, 23:8, 23:9, 24:4, 24:9, 24:17, 25:4, 26:2, 26:23, 28:14, 28:16, 28:19, 28:21, 28:23, 29:4, 29:5, 30:15, 31:6, 31:14, 31:21, 31:23, 32:1, 32:15 done [8] - 5:11, 5:20, 10:10, 18:24, 23:2, 31:24, 32:4, 32:17 **Dong** [2] - 3:3, 23:5 down [1] - 17:22 **DUANE** [1] - 1:14 during [5] - 13:2, 15:6, 22:13, 22:22, 29:3 Ε e-mail [1] - 15:14 easier [1] - 6:25

18:4 easily [1] - 20:21 26:22 economy [1] - 25:12 editorial [3] - 5:9, 13:6, 22:5 10:9, 11:20 educating [1] - 7:23 effect [1] - 11:1 efficiency [1] - 16:14 19:11 **Eisenhower** [1] - 1:12 **elsewhere** [1] - 25:25 12:24, 13:6 **employees** [1] - 7:6 encourage [2] - 6:3, 8:3 29:4, 30:5 end [2] - 11:14, 17:3 endorse [1] - 21:19 English [30] - 12:6, 26:2 12:7, 13:9, 13:10, 13:16, 13:17, 16:3, 3:14 16:22, 18:20, 20:1, 20:21, 21:14, 21:17, 21:20, 22:1, 22:2, explicit [1] - 5:7 22:15, 22:17, 23:20, 23:22, 24:19, 25:1, extensive[1] - 20:14 28:12, 30:14, 30:15, extent [1] - 32:20 31:23, 32:7, 32:8, extremely [2] - 22:21, 32:15 30:13 English-language [1] - 31:23 F English-speaking [1]

entertaining [1] -11:22 entire [5] - 5:23, 8:13, 13:16, 13:17, 27:7 entirely [1] - 8:10 entirety [1] - 2:5 entitled [1] - 33:8 equation [1] - 6:11 equivalent [1] - 30:24 error [1] - 13:20 errors [1] - 17:17 especially [1] - 25:13 **ESQUIRE** [2] - 1:12, 1:15 essentially [1] - 30:24 establish [1] - 20:3 estimate [1] - 25:2 evaluation [1] - 7:12 evasive[1] - 3:21 event[1] - 26:17 **example** [5] - 6:1, 18:20, 20:15, 24:25, 26:13 examples [4] - 4:13, 6:1, 18:5, 27:19 **except**[1] - 3:11 excerpt[2] - 18:3, excerpts [2] - 2:3, exchange [3] - 12:24, executives [1] - 7:5 exemplary [1] - 9:6 exhibit [2] - 19:10, exhibits [3] - 12:19, expect [6] - 23:21, 23:24, 24:23, 25:6, **expecting** [1] - 23:5 expense [2] - 16:5, **experience** [2] - 3:6, **experienced** [1] - 3:24 explain [2] - 3:8, 3:9 explicitly [1] - 12:14

enter [1] - 29:13

**entertained**[1] - 11:23

fact [7] - 7:8, 7:20,

9:13, 11:13, 12:13, 19:24, 23:25 fair [2] - 9:19, 30:8 fairly [1] - 23:10 fairness [1] - 24:20 faith [1] - 25:6 fall [1] - 20:22 falling [1] - 30:3 familiarity [2] - 23:22, 24.19 far [2] - 7:1, 9:4 FDA [1] - 18:21 feasible [1] - 26:22 federal [1] - 7:4 few [5] - 4:13, 8:15, 16:15, 17:13, 22:13 file [1] - 29:21 fine [1] - 9:15 finish [1] - 15:2 firm [1] - 28:25 first [11] - 2:11, 2:14, 4:6, 4:7, 5:13, 8:17, 10:17, 11:13, 13:22, 18:7, 21:10 five [4] - 4:3, 22:10, 22:11, 22:13 flavor [1] - 15:23 focused [1] - 14:13 follow [1] - 29:24 following [3] - 8:14, 23:7, 28:18 follows [1] - 27:13 fool [1] - 18:25 FOR [1] - 1:2 force[1] - 10:1 forced [2] - 14:21, 19:20 foregoing [1] - 33:7 foreign [1] - 20:18 formed [1] - 3:23 forward [2] - 24:15, 24:21 foundation [5] - 17:8, 17:21, 24:11, 24:16, 31:4 foundational [1] -19:17 four [2] - 3:4, 9:22 frame [1] - 3:10 frankly [2] - 5:3, 6:23 FREEMAN[1] - 1:11 Friedlander [2] - 1:20, 33:10 friedlanderreporter @gmail.com[1] full [1] - 18:4 fully [1] - 18:8 fulsome [2] - 17:15, 26:12

- 22:2

interpreter [8] - 13:11,

13:15, 15:4, 15:15,

15:17, 15:19, 15:20

interpreter's [1] - 14:9

13:22. 14:5. 22:11.

interpreters [6] -

#### future [1] - 11:20

#### G

game [1] - 23:3 gentleman [1] - 28:11 given [2] - 4:13, 22:12 God [1] - 11:10 GOLDBERG [15] -1:15, 2:10, 2:24, 5:14, 9:12, 12:9, 14:25, 15:3, 16:7, 21:6, 21:8, 21:10, 28:10, 31:10, 32:6 Goldberg [13] - 2:2. 2:9, 9:11, 10:13, 12:8, 21:6, 21:9, 24:4, 25:16, 25:22, 28:7, 31:9, 32:12 Goldberg's [1] - 23:17 great[1] - 26:6 greater [1] - 27:11 Group [1] - 1:16 **guarantee** [1] - 28:22 guess [2] - 26:24, 27:16 guidance [1] - 12:3 guide [1] - 23:13

12:3, 12:4, 12:9, 16:7, 16:19, 17:3, 18:3, 18:5, 20:9, 21:6, 21:10, 23:13, 26:9, 26:11, 28:9, 29:6, 29:20, 29:24, 29:25, 30:5, 30:6, 31:8, 31:10, 31:11, 31:19

HONORABLE [1] - 1:9 hope [2] - 18:14, 30:5 hopefully [1] - 25:18 hotel [3] - 2:20, 2:25, 3:15 hour [1] - 6:18

hours [4] - 22:10, 22:11, 22:13, 22:14 human [1] - 23:1 hundred [2] - 25:8, 26:21

**hundred-plus** [1] -25:8 **hundreds** [4] - 25:4,

26:21, 26:23, 27:6 **hurry** [2] - 11:18, 32:16

# 1

half [1] - 6:18 hand [1] - 11:7 handful [2] - 16:22, 16:23 handling [1] - 7:24 handwriting [1] -17:18 handwritten [1] -17:18 hate [1] - 21:12 head [2] - 12:1, 16:8 hear [5] - 5:12, 8:6, 17:1, 24:11, 26:12 heard [5] - 2:6, 11:10, 29:13, 30:10 heart[1] - 5:21 help [2] - 11:15, 32:1 helping [1] - 31:20 highly [2] - 14:1, 28:14 Hilton [2] - 4:3, 8:25 himself [1] - 7:25

hold [2] - 7:13, 29:9

home [1] - 4:15

honest[1] - 18:14

Honor [40] - 2:10,

2:14, 2:15, 3:1, 4:4,

4:5, 4:7, 5:15, 5:21,

8:2, 8:5, 8:15, 9:12,

Н

IARC[1] - 26:13 ill [1] - 3:23 impeach [1] - 26:19 important [6] - 2:15, 6:10, 7:10, 8:11, 26:15, 27:18 impose [1] - 24:22 impugned [2] - 3:19. 5:25 impurities [2] - 7:12, 7:17 impurity [1] - 7:12 IN [1] - 1:4 inappropriate [3] -5:21, 8:24, 10:7 included [1] - 9:13 including [1] - 7:5 indication [1] - 25:20 information [4] - 16:8, 16:10, 17:16, 20:4 insensitive [1] - 5:3 insist [2] - 4:16, 22:15 insisting [1] - 13:11 instruct [1] - 27:21 intended [7] - 4:21, 4:22, 5:10, 10:3, 10:4, 10:10 International [1] -26:14

interpret [3] - 15:5.

15:20, 31:20

22:13, 28:25, 31:13 interpreting [1] -22:15 interrupt [1] - 9:9 **intervene**[1] - 6:3 intimidate [2] - 4:21, 10:11 intimidating [1] - 9:16 **intimidation** [1] - 5:1 introduce [1] - 13:8 inviting [1] - 8:6 involved [1] - 24:17 IRBESARTAN[1] -1:5 irrelevant [1] - 27:24 isolated [1] - 26:18 issue [12] - 2:13, 2:14, 5:13, 12:12, 12:15, 14:2. 14:3. 19:19. 20:9, 24:5, 26:12, 30:11 issues [3] - 2:11, 3:17, 18:10 itself [1] - 5:18

# J

**JERSEY**[1] - 1:2 Jersey [1] - 1:13 Joint [1] - 1:16 Judge [10] - 12:12, 12:13, 12:20, 12:21, 18:11, 20:25, 22:4, 24:6, 29:14 JUDGE [27] - 2:2, 2:23, 5:12, 7:13, 7:15, 9:9, 10:13, 12:5, 14:24, 15:2, 15:25, 17:1, 17:5, 18:6, 18:12, 21:7, 21:9, 23:11, 23:15, 26:16, 27:4, 28:5, 29:19, 30:10, 31:9, 31:16, 32:11

#### K

Karen [2] - 1:20, 33:10 KATZ [1] - 1:11 keep [1] - 8:25 key [1] - 15:12 kind [8] - 4:21, 5:1, 5:6, 9:5, 11:16, 21:17, 26:1, 28:3 10:9
knowing [3] - 7:24,
14:7, 18:19
knowledge [5] - 11:2,
21:21, 26:19, 31:22,
31:24
knowledgeable [1] 23:19
known [1] - 19:16
knows [3] - 4:14,
21:24, 31:20
Kugler [1] - 29:14

kinds [3] - 4:19, 10:6,

# **L** language [23] - 3:14,

6:24, 9:13, 14:22,

19:2, 19:15, 20:1, 20:18, 20:22, 21:23, 23:22, 24:2, 24:18, 24:19, 26:3, 29:9, 29:11, 30:18, 30:25, 31:6, 31:21, 31:23, 32.2 last [3] - 3:4, 4:4, 26:13 late [1] - 25:15 law [1] - 3:2 lawyer [2] - 6:2, 19:1 lawyers [1] - 6:10 layout [1] - 7:1 least [9] - 6:10, 13:23, 15:23, 16:12, 16:13, 25:16, 27:11, 28:20, 31:5 leave [2] - 6:12, 30:11 left [2] - 19:4, 22:6 lengthy [1] - 20:14 letter [4] - 2:11, 4:7, 5:17, 9:13 letters [1] - 2:3 Li[2] - 28:11, 28:17 **li**[1] - 28:18 **LIABILITY**[1] - 1:5 light [1] - 27:14 likely [1] - 24:12 limit [3] - 25:21, 25:23 limited [2] - 6:14, 22:9 listen [1] - 32:12 literally [1] - 6:2 litigation [8] - 3:6, 3:7, 3:14, 7:4, 7:11, 21:4, 29:3, 29:13 **LITIGATION** [1] - 1:5 LLC [1] - 1:11 LLP[1] - 1:14 located [2] - 25:24, 25:25 longest[1] - 9:24

look [9] - 14:11, 14:15, 17:15, 17:23, 17:24, 19:2, 26:5, 27:21, 28:2 looking [3] - 9:1, 9:3, 26:2 LOSARTAN [1] - 1:4

# М

Macau [3] - 4:18, 4:20, 6:12 machine [14] - 15:21, 16:1, 16:3, 16:9, 16:13, 16:17, 17:6, 17:9, 17:11, 17:19, 17:23, 22:25, 24:10, 24:14 machine-translated [3] - 15:21, 16:13, 17:9 mail [1] - 15:14 Mandarin [16] - 12:6, 13:9, 16:3, 16:20, 16:24, 22:16, 23:9, 24:17, 25:1, 25:23, 27:7, 31:23, 32:7, 32:8, 32:15 Mandarin-speaking [2] - 22:16, 23:9 marketplace[1] - 16:2 massive[2] - 8:12, 17:12 **MASTER**[1] - 1:9 matter [10] - 11:17, 11:23, 17:14, 20:19, 24:8, 24:20, 27:2, 27:4, 33:8 matters [1] - 26:20 MAZIE [1] - 1:11 mean [8] - 8:21, 9:15, 15:3, 25:21, 25:22, 25:23, 30:16, 31:3 means [1] - 32:2 mechanical [1] - 1:22 mentioned [1] - 11:13 message [1] - 11:17 might [2] - 18:14, 20:21 mind [3] - 11:9, 16:19, 28:5 Minli [1] - 4:3 minutes [6] - 6:19, 8:18, 11:14, 11:15, 18:1 miss [1] - 19:3 missed [1] - 19:6 mom [2] - 11:9, 32:25

Monday [6] - 5:22,

6:13, 12:10, 18:8,

14:13

**plus** [1] - 25:8

products [1] - 16:4

20:17, 24:7 money [1] - 28:23 monograph [5] -26:13, 26:17, 27:5, 27:7, 27:23 month [1] - 4:15 morning [1] - 4:6 MORRIS [1] - 1:14 most [6] - 3:24, 7:3, 7:10, 19:16, 21:21, 23:19 motion [2] - 5:22, 8:15 move [4] - 5:8, 8:16, 8:19, 29:6 moved[1] - 5:24 movies [2] - 3:7, 3:11 MR [29] - 2:10, 2:24, 5:14, 5:15, 7:17, 9:12, 12:3, 12:9, 14:25, 15:3, 16:7, 17:3, 17:6, 18:7, 18:13, 21:6, 21:8, 21:10, 23:12, 26:9, 26:17, 27:16, 28:8, 28:10, 29:20, 31:8, 31:10, 31:19, 32:6 must [1] - 7:15

## N

named [1] - 28:11 narrower [1] - 30:7 nationals [1] - 3:5 nature [2] - 3:9, 7:4 necessary [1] - 30:4 need [15] - 11:22. 12:2, 16:20, 16:24, 23:6, 23:12, 24:3, 25:7. 25:8. 26:8. 26:11, 27:16, 31:4, 31:23 needed [1] - 29:14 needs [6] - 7:23, 10:5, 11:16, 21:18, 24:21, 30:23 never [7] - 2:17, 3:15, 6:17, 7:4, 7:7, 31:24 **NEW** [1] - 1:2 new [1] - 7:7 New [1] - 1:13 next [18] - 5:9, 6:20, 16:21, 19:19, 23:5, 23:7, 25:18, 25:19, 26:6, 27:17, 29:21, 30:1, 30:12, 31:2, 32:12, 32:21, 33:2 night [5] - 4:6, 22:10, 22:12, 22:14, 26:13 nights [4] - 3:4, 4:3,

9:22, 22:20

nobody [2] - 8:3, 11.22 nothing [4] - 3:1, 18:2, 19:18, 31:8 notice [3] - 21:22. 32:14. 32:19 **November**[1] - 15:11 **NUMBER**[1] - 1:4 number [5] - 17:12, 18:15, 22:20, 25:2, 28:13 numerous [1] - 3:19

## 0

objected [1] - 20:7

objection [1] - 17:8

24.12

objections [2] - 17:21,

obligation [1] - 23:19 obligations [2] - 8:1, 30:6 obviously [9] - 7:22, 8:1, 8:22, 18:8, 18:9, 25:15, 29:22, 29:23, 31:19 **OF**[1] - 1:2 Official [1] - 1:20 old [1] - 4:15 once [3] - 4:4, 6:17, 24:5 one [14] - 4:15, 4:18, 4:19, 6:1, 8:19, 15:14, 18:5, 18:15, 19:19, 23:22, 23:23, 27:12, 27:13, 27:14 one-month-old [1] -4:15 open [4] - 7:15, 7:16, 22:6, 28:5 opportunity [4] - 2:6, 30:16, 30:18, 30:19 order [6] - 5:7, 9:25, 10:7, 10:8, 20:25, 32:11 origin [1] - 21:23 outline [1] - 8:12 overall [1] - 7:1 owes [1] - 31:11

### P

own [1] - 28:2

p.m [3] - 1:8, 2:1, 33:4 page [5] - 16:15, 24:25, 25:5, 25:8, 27:8 pages [8] - 14:11, 25:2, 25:5, 26:21, 26:23, 27:6, 27:8,

27:23 pandemic [1] - 22:22 papers [1] - 29:21 paragraph [3] - 13:16, 27:12, 27:13 paraphrase[1] - 11:4 Parkway [1] - 1:12 part [6] - 7:11, 11:4, 13:9, 25:9, 25:10, 28:1 particular [2] - 24:8, 30:11 parties [7] - 5:2, 12:23, 13:21, 13:23, 14:4, 22:10, 22:20 **PARTIES** [1] - 2:1 parts [6] - 2:5, 8:13, 10:14, 11:8, 18:21, 26:24 party [3] - 2:12, 16:19, 16:23 pass [1] - 25:21 past [2] - 22:19, 22:20 pay [1] - 29:16 paying [1] - 15:6 penalty [1] - 11:19 Peng [1] - 23:5 Pennsylvania [1] -1:16 people [5] - 23:22, 25:13, 31:19, 31:20, 32:1 per[4] - 16:15, 22:9, 22:12, 24:25 per-page [1] - 24:25 permitted [1] - 4:10 person [3] - 9:6, 10:18, 23:18 personal [1] - 9:18 personality [1] - 11:8 perspective [1] - 25:2 persuade [1] - 30:6 pharmaceutical[1] -7:6 Philadelphia [1] - 1:16 picked [1] - 19:1 plaintiffs [18] - 4:7, 15:4, 15:7, 15:18. 16:9, 16:16, 22:15, 22:17, 22:18, 22:24, 23:8, 28:15, 29:12, 29:15, 31:8, 31:12, 32:9, 32:14 **Plaintiffs** [1] - 1:13 plaintiffs'[3] - 10:18, 12:25, 25:1 plenty [2] - 15:12, 23.7 plucked [1] - 14:13

point [8] - 10:20. 12:22, 19:11, 20:15, 23:15, 23:17, 27:25, 28:2 pointing [1] - 28:3 points [1] - 6:1 pong [6] - 3:3, 3:5, 3:19, 3:20, 3:22, 4:5 pong's [1] - 28:13 pops [1] - 12:1 portion [3] - 13:10, 27:22, 28:20 position [2] - 29:21, 30:24 possible [1] - 9:25 possibly [1] - 9:24 postpone [1] - 32:18 practical [1] - 20:20 practice [2] - 5:23, 30:17 precedes [1] - 27:13 preclude [1] - 10:17 precluded [3] - 4:12, 5:5, 10:8 precluding [1] - 11:24 predeposition [2] -13:5, 22:5 preferable [1] - 24:9 prejudice [1] - 23:10 prejudicial [1] - 13:21 **prejudicing** [1] - 5:2 prepare [1] - 29:25 prepared [1] - 29:15 present[4] - 2:25, 3:16, 24:13, 29:24 presentation [1] -30:3 16:20, 28:13, 30:22 14:6, 14:23, 15:1, 15:3, 23:21, 32:20

presented [4] - 10:15, pretty [1] - 30:17 previewing [1] - 26:25 previously [1] - 12:16 problem [7] - 8:8, proceed [1] - 2:9 **proceedings** [1] - 33:8 Proceedings [1] process [4] - 3:8, 7:3, 7:18, 14:1

produced [3] - 1:23,

15:10, 15:11

product [5] - 7:18,

12:21, 13:1, 16:5,

**production** [1] - 17:20

**PRODUCTS** [1] - 1:5

11:21 21:15 25:11

professional [1] profiles [1] - 7:12 proper [1] - 24:15 properly [1] - 3:25 protocol [1] - 12:23 provide [6] - 10:4, 10:5, 17:22, 24:24, 27:8, 27:11 provided [2] - 8:16, provision [1] - 12:23 purposes [1] - 16:13 push [2] - 6:19, 8:3 put [10] - 5:16, 7:9, 12:10, 12:22, 24:17, 25:1, 26:2, 26:5, 27:14, 31:3 puts [1] - 27:15 putting [3] - 9:6, 19:9, Q

Qiangming [1] - 28:11 quarantine [1] - 2:19 questioned [3] -24:18, 25:5, 31:1 questioner[1] - 9:6 questioning [1] - 9:21 questions [7] - 3:21, 3:23, 11:5, 19:16, 24:14, 30:15, 32:3 quick [1] - 15:16 quite [1] - 5:2

# R

race[1] - 21:23

raised [3] - 2:10, 12:19, 18:9 rather [3] - 3:12, 3:16, 19:23 ratings [1] - 16:4 **RE**[1] - 1:4 read [13] - 2:3, 2:4, 2:5, 10:14, 11:8, 14:8, 16:22, 17:18, 18:23, 22:17, 24:1, 28:12 reading [3] - 19:2, 19:6, 20:2 reads [2] - 20:18, 23:20 reality [1] - 20:20 realize [1] - 25:4 really [5] - 2:17, 3:10, 3:22, 6:24, 8:2

reasked[1] - 19:5

plucked-out[1] -

22:3

reason [6] - 15:18, 15:20, 28:21, 29:4, 29:17, 32:9 reasons [2] - 20:12, 20:24 receive[1] - 24:23 received [1] - 4:7 recipe [1] - 17:21 record [9] - 9:15, 13:12, 15:4, 15:15, 15:17, 19:10, 20:3, 27:16, 33:8 recorded [1] - 1:22 reference[1] - 3:10 referenced[1] - 2:5 referred [1] - 12:11 refrain [2] - 10:9, 11:4 refusing [1] - 10:22 regardless [3] - 21:22, 21:23 relevant [2] - 25:9 relief [1] - 9:1 rely [1] - 14:9 remark[1] - 32:25 remind [2] - 6:15, 6:17 remote [1] - 25:14 rep[1] - 18:18 repeat [1] - 7:14 **repeated** [1] - 11:5 repeating [1] - 6:13 report [2] - 26:5, 26:6 Reporter [1] - 1:20 reporter [2] - 6:9, 7:14 Reporter/ Transcriber [1] -33:10 representative [2] -7:9, 7:21 represented[1] -25:16 require [2] - 2:19, 32:14 required [1] - 32:16 requires [1] - 12:23 Research [1] - 26:14 resolved [2] - 24:5, 24:6 resources [1] - 29:15 respect[2] - 3:18, respond [1] - 23:12 responding [1] - 18:4 response [2] - 5:16, responsive [1] - 11:6 rest[3] - 11:10, 25:22, 27:21 retain [2] - 13:23, 23:1 retained [4] - 13:23, 15:5, 20:6, 22:11

reveal [1] - 22:5 review [2] - 30:16, 30:18 ripe [1] - 13:20 risk[4] - 7:18, 18:22, 31:10, 32:23 RMR[1] - 33:10 role[1] - 28:14 room [1] - 3:15 rooms [2] - 2:20, 2:25 **Roseland** [1] - 1:13 rough [1] - 2:4 roughly [1] - 22:14 ruled [4] - 12:21, 12:22, 18:8, 22:5 rules [3] - 8:15, 18:17, 20:19 ruling [1] - 20:25 run [4] - 22:19, 24:12

# S

sacrificed [1] - 22:22 sailed [1] - 23:4 sanctions [1] - 11:19 satisfactory [1] - 4:1 satisfy [1] - 10:4 saw [2] - 8:16, 18:3 scanned [2] - 17:18, 17:20 scattered [1] - 18:11 scheduled [1] - 25:19 Schneider [8] - 12:12, 12:13, 12:20, 12:21, 20:25, 22:4, 24:6 scientific [1] - 26:20 screen [1] - 9:1 second [3] - 2:12, 14:20, 20:20 section [1] - 13:17 sections [2] - 6:15, 8:12 secured [1] - 31:13 see [7] - 3:11, 11:5, 17:13, 19:12, 20:10, 23:21, 28:15 seeing [2] - 26:4, 27:12 sending [1] - 11:17 sense [2] - 26:4, 32:21 sensitive [4] - 3:12, 23:25, 30:14, 30:21 sensitivity [2] - 3:17, 20:9 sentence [3] - 13:15, 14:13, 19:6 sentences [2] - 26:18, 26:22 serious [1] - 11:23 seriously [2] - 8:23, 15:2, 18:10

service [1] - 28:25 **SETH**[1] - 1:15 Seth [1] - 21:6 setting [1] - 2:15 sex[1] - 21:24 ship [1] - 23:4 short[1] - 15:14 shorten [1] - 10:2 **shorter** [1] - 11:18 show [2] - 8:23, 15:9 showing [1] - 22:24 shows [1] - 18:24 sic [1] - 3:3 side [3] - 10:18, 27:14, 29:14 sides [3] - 24:23, 25:20, 30:22 sight[7] - 13:12, 13:13, 13:17, 13:18, 13:24, 13:25, 14:19 significant [4] - 10:14, 16:11, 16:16, 29:22 simply [3] - 10:22, 29:10, 29:17 single [3] - 9:25, 17:9, 30:18 site [1] - 14:9 sitting [1] - 3:15 **situation** [2] - 3:13, 7:7 six [1] - 22:14 **sky** [1] - 30:3 Slater [27] - 3:17, 4:1, 4:5, 4:10, 4:14, 5:5, 5:7, 5:13, 9:14, 9:19, 9:23, 10:4, 10:7, 10:17, 10:24, 11:7, 12:11, 12:17, 13:8, 14:13, 17:2, 21:13, 24:11, 29:2, 29:19, 31:11, 31:17 **SLATER** [17] - 1:11, 1:12, 5:15, 7:17, 12:3, 17:3, 17:6, 18:7, 18:13, 23:12, 26:9, 26:17, 27:16, 28:8, 29:20, 31:8, 31:19 Slater's [3] - 2:11, 4:2, 21:11 small [3] - 16:22, 16:23, 27:23 **snide** [3] - 11:11, 11:12, 32:25 **someone**[1] - 18:18 **sometimes**[1] - 17:12 soon [1] - 4:5 sorry [4] - 3:3, 8:20,

sorts [1] - 17:17 soul [1] - 11:10 speaking [5] - 18:13, 22:2, 22:16, 23:9, 25:18 speaks [3] - 5:18, 20:18, 23:20 **SPECIAL** [1] - 1:9 specific [3] - 13:15, 19:1 **specifically** [1] - 26:18 spend [2] - 15:5, 17:25 spent [2] - 8:18, 28:22 spoken [1] - 14:3 spot[1] - 14:2 spur[1] - 21:4 stage [1] - 23:3 stand [3] - 8:2, 20:25 standard [3] - 9:5, 29:10, 30:17 stands [1] - 9:14 stark[1] - 27:14 start [7] - 17:3, 28:16, 28:21, 29:5, 29:17, 29:18, 32:9 started [1] - 4:5 **starting** [1] - 29:5 state [1] - 11:2 statements [2] - 4:19, 4:21 **STATES**[1] - 1:2 **STATUS**[1] - 1:6 stay [5] - 4:15, 4:17, 4:20, 6:6, 29:6 stenography [1] -1:22 still [3] - 20:5, 27:2, 30:8 stop [1] - 11:16 stopped [4] - 5:4, 5:6, 5:23. 19:4 Street [1] - 1:15 strike [2] - 5:22, 8:15 subject[1] - 17:14 submission [1] -24:24 submissions [1] -18:21 sue [1] - 29:12 suggested [2] - 20:20, 30:7 suggesting [1] - 27:6 supposed [2] - 11:3, 20:3 suspect [1] - 31:15

T team [1] - 25:22

technical [3] - 14:1, 15:16, 28:14 technology [1] - 25:14 **TELEPHONE** [1] - 2:1 telephone [1] - 1:6 tendency [1] - 10:24 terms [4] - 13:3, 14:1, 22:4, 31:5 testify [2] - 3:3, 23:9 testifying [2] - 3:4, 7:25 testimony [9] - 4:8, 5:2, 10:2, 10:4, 18:24, 22:10, 22:12, 22:20, 23:5 THE [2] - 1:2, 1:9 the court [3] - 6:8, 10:7, 22:3 themselves [1] - 7:24 they've [5] - 7:6, 15:12, 20:7, 28:24, 29:13 thinks [1] - 9:14 third [2] - 14:25, 15:3 **THOMAS**[1] - 1:9 threat [1] - 6:22 **threatening** [1] - 6:13 three [3] - 7:10, 27:8, 27:23 throughout [2] - 11:5, 30:9 **Thursday** [1] - 1:7 time-limited [1] - 22:9 tired [2] - 18:11, 18:14 today [9] - 2:7, 5:17, 12:14, 22:6, 26:8, 27:3, 30:11, 31:7, 33:1 together [2] - 25:7, 33:1 tone [1] - 8:6 tongue [1] - 12:1 tonight [1] - 25:15 tonight's [1] - 23:4 took[3] - 5:21, 11:13, 11:15 top [2] - 3:17, 16:8 topic [4] - 7:10, 9:7, 18:20, 20:22 topics [3] - 7:24, 21:22, 21:24 towards [1] - 20:18 transcript[9] - 1:23, 2:4, 5:18, 8:2, 8:5, 8:23, 10:14, 11:9, 33:7 transcription [1] transition [1] - 20:6 translate [17] - 13:12,

13:17, 13:25, 15:12, 29:8, 30:4 window [2] - 7:15, 15:15, 19:24, 21:1, unfairness [1] - 29:7 7:16 22:25, 23:8, 25:8, unfortunately [1] witness [58] - 3:13, 27:21, 28:1, 28:16, 10:23 3:24, 4:14, 4:22, 5:1, 28:21, 29:5, 31:13, unique [3] - 2:16, 5:3, 5:10, 5:25, 7:8, 32:7 2:21, 3:12 7:20, 7:21, 8:11, 9:2, translated [14] - 4:17, **UNITED**[1] - 1:2 9:17, 9:20, 9:23, 6:16, 12:18, 15:21, unprofessional [2] -10:1, 10:5, 10:10, 16:13, 16:17, 16:24, 10:11, 10:21, 10:22, 3:18, 4:11 17:9, 17:19, 19:21, unquestionably [1] -11:17, 13:4, 13:10, 21:3, 24:10, 31:22, 13:20 13:18, 14:6, 14:8, 14:10, 14:20, 15:9, 32:15 up [12] - 5:13, 7:9, translating [6] - 13:3, 15:23, 18:16, 18:25, 7:13, 9:6, 9:25, 13:24, 14:3, 24:4, 19:20, 19:25, 20:5, 10:24, 11:18, 12:10, 28:23, 32:7 20:21, 21:13, 21:15, 17:21, 24:13, 28:1, translation [26] - 2:13, 21:19, 21:24, 21:25, 31:5 12:5, 13:12, 13:19, 22:2, 23:25, 24:18, 14:7, 14:9, 14:19, V 25:5, 25:18, 28:18, 30:14, 30:16, 30:18 15:22, 16:1, 16:3, VALSARTAN[1] - 1:4 16:10, 17:6, 17:12, witness's [3] - 10:2, VANASKIE [28] - 1:9, 17:23, 18:1, 19:25, 10:3, 22:19 2:2, 2:23, 5:12, 7:13, 20:13, 21:3, 22:7, witnesses [24] - 2:12, 23:1, 23:6, 24:10, 7:15, 9:9, 10:13, 2:18, 2:25, 3:2, 3:5, 12:5, 14:24, 15:2, 24:14, 29:16, 31:25 7:3, 7:5, 14:14, 15:25, 17:1, 17:5, 16:20, 16:21, 16:24, translator [5] - 6:8, 19:21, 19:24, 20:6, 18:6, 18:12, 21:7, 21:15, 21:21, 22:8, 29:3 21:9, 23:11, 23:15, 22:12, 22:16, 22:21, 26:16, 27:4, 28:5, translators [3] - 23:1, 23:6, 23:9, 29:8, 29:19, 30:10, 31:9, 28:25, 32:6 29:10, 30:8, 30:24 31:16, 32:11 travel [1] - 22:23 word [5] - 11:9, 11:11, vein [1] - 23:16 traveled [1] - 22:8 14:3, 19:3, 19:4 treated [1] - 29:8 verbal [1] - 26:6 words [3] - 9:18, 11:1, trial [1] - 19:11 version [1] - 12:6 17:13 versions [2] - 12:6, world [1] - 25:12 tried [2] - 3:8, 3:9 trust [2] - 19:25, 20:5 12:18 writing [1] - 26:6 try [2] - 6:4, 18:25 VIA [1] - 2:1 written [5] - 13:8, Via [1] - 1:6 13:16, 13:17, 16:21, trying [6] - 4:18, 4:20, video [2] - 5:18, 8:5 6:15, 6:17, 10:1, 31:1 videographer [1] - 6:9 20:19 view [1] - 21:19 Y turn [1] - 9:2 viewpoints [1] - 29:23 **two** [9] - 2:10, 6:1, years [2] - 5:23, 31:14 visa [3] - 6:14, 22:9, 7:10, 23:6, 23:21, yesterday [1] - 29:14 22:19 25:6, 27:8, 27:22, yourself [1] - 11:15 voice[1] - 8:6 31:14 type [1] - 27:24 Z W types [1] - 8:4 Zhang [1] - 4:3 wants [3] - 6:20, 13:8, U ZHP [14] - 1:16, 2:12, 13:10 5:2, 7:11, 13:21, **U.S**[5] - 2:17, 3:6, 3:7, war[1] - 29:15 13:23, 15:5, 15:6, Wednesday [9] -3:14, 7:6 unable [1] - 24:1 16:19, 16:23, 18:15, 25:18, 25:19, 26:7, 19:16, 20:6, 28:14 unacceptable[1] -29:22, 30:1, 30:12, **ZHP's** [1] - 2:24 10:6 31:2, 32:13, 32:21 ZHP-party [1] - 16:23 week [9] - 4:4, 10:16, under [2] - 3:2, 30:20 Zoom [2] - 2:18, 2:22 16:21, 23:5, 25:17, understood [1] -27:17, 28:11, 31:5, 23:15 33:2 undue [1] - 24:22 week's [1] - 23:7 unfair [6] - 8:18, 19:18, 20:16, 22:21, whole [1] - 2:7